

WHO-FACT CHECK

as of: 03/2024

The sovereignty of the Member States.	
Fact 1	The passage “taking into account the views of the State Party concerned” is deleted in Article 10.4 of the new IHR!
Fact 2	The word “non-binding” is deleted several times in Art. 1.1. of the new IHR!
Wording of the current WHO draft	“States Parties recognize WHO as the guidance and coordinating authority of international public health response during public health Emergency of International Concern and undertake to follow WHO’s recommendations in their international public health response.” (IHR, 13A - 1)
Fact 3	The new Article 13A - 1 commits the 194 Member States to follow the recommendations of the WHO! These dictatorial draft treaties are scandalous and expose the true intentions of the WHO! The WHO is not a trustworthy organization – even if it were to weaken the words of the drafts at the last minute due to increasing international pressure!

Declaring a pandemic

Wording of the current WHO draft	Tedros can justify a global health emergency with e.g. “a human influenza caused by a new subtype“ (IHR, ANNEX2) or “cluster(s) of other severe infections in which human to human transmission cannot be ruled out” (IHR, ANNEX2) environmental: “The State Parties recognize that environmental, climatic, socio, anthropogenic and economic factors increase the risk of pandemics [...]” (Pandemic Agreement 4.5)
Fact 1	Neither the pandemic agreement nor the IHR set clear, unambiguous standards for declaring a pandemic that could put a stop to arbitrariness.
Wording	According to the new Article 12, paragraph 5 and also paragraph 1 of the IHR, General Director Tedros can even declare pandemics in the future!
Fact 2	“The Director-General shall determine whether a public health emergency of international concern constitutes a pandemic emergency.” (IHR, Art. 12 (5))

The powers of the WHO in the event of a pandemic

Wording of the current WHO draft	“If it has been determined in accordance with Article 12 that a public health emergency of international concern is occurring [...], the Director-General shall issue temporary recommendations.” (IHR, Art. 15.1) Health measures taken pursuant to these Regulations [...] shall be initiated and completed without delay by all State Parties. (IHR, Art. 42)
Fact 1	By declaring a pandemic, the WHO Secretary-General empowers himself. He receives emergency powers of attorney through it. He can issue so-called temporary recommendations, but according to Art. 42, these MUST be implemented immediately by all Member States!
Wording	“The Director-General shall establish an emergency committee, [...] The Director-General elects the members of the Emergency Committee. [...] The Director-General shall determine the duration of membership,” [...] (IHR, Art. 48, 1+2)
Fact 2	There are no superordinate and independent supervisory bodies, no separation of powers!

WHO-FACT CHECK

as of: 03/2024

The powers of the WHO in the event of a pandemic

Wording of the current WHO draft	<p>“Recommendations issued by WHO to States Parties with respect to persons may include the following advice among others:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- review proof of vaccination or other prophylaxis- require vaccination or other prophylaxis- place suspect persons under public health observation- implement quarantine or other health measures for suspect persons- implement isolation and treatment where necessary of affected persons- implement tracing of contacts of suspect or affected persons (IHR, Art. 18.1)
Fact 3	<p>These WHO “recommendations”, which can be enforced under Article 13A - 1 and Art. 42 of the IHR, can completely undermine people’s medical and personal freedom and pave the way for seamless contact monitoring!</p>

Need for a broad-based public debate

Wording of the current WHO draft	<p>“At the global level, WHO shall strengthen capacities to: Counter misinformation and disinformation (NEW: IHR, Art. 7e) ... with the aim of countering and addressing misinformation or disinformation [...]” (Pandemic Agreement 18.1).</p>
Fact 1	<p>The required broad public debate can be prevented by suppression of so-called disinformation by the WHO. By combating so-called disinformation, the WHO is given an excuse to suppress unpopular expert voices and censor critical voices about the WHO on social platforms. To this end, the WHO has already concluded countless contracts with social platforms such as Google, Facebook and tiktok, with the aim of ensuring that only the controlled language of the WHO is used.</p>
Wording of the current WHO draft	<p>“a. Decisions of the Health Assembly on important questions shall be made by a two-thirds majority of the Members present and voting. These questions shall include: the adoption of conventions or agreements [...]” “b. Decisions on other questions, [...] shall be made by a majority of the Members present and voting.” (WHO Constitution Article 60) “The [...] period provided for the rejection or reservation of an amendment to these Rules of Procedure is ten months (IHR, Art. 59.1)</p>
Fact 2	<p>The pandemic treaty can only enter into force if a 2/3 majority of the delegates of the WHO General Assembly approve the treaty. All 194 parliaments of the Member States must then discuss the pandemic treaty and adopt it by majority vote. The far-reaching amendments to the IHR, on the other hand, are already deemed to have been accepted if a simple majority of delegates vote in favor. Approval by the national parliaments is not required here according to IHR, Art. 55.3. Only an explicit objection within ten months of the vote is still possible. This is where the parliamentary democracy of the Member States is undermined. The involvement of parliaments and civil society demanded by the CDU/CSU is not provided for by the WHO in the amendment of the IHR!</p>